Chapter Six

Pipelining

- Improve performance by increasing instruction throughput
  - Multiple instructions are overlapped in execution
Pipelining

- Ideal speedup is number of stages in the pipeline. Do we achieve this?
  - Example on Page 438. Pipelining improve throughput not execution time of an individual Ins.

Pipelining

- What makes it easy (like MIPS)
  - all instructions are the same length (some computers have Ins with different length(bits))
  - just a few instruction formats
  - memory operands appear only in loads and stores

- What makes it hard?
  - structural hazards: suppose we had only one memory
  - control hazards: need to worry about branch instructions
  - data hazards: an instruction depends on a previous instruction

- We'll build a simple pipeline and look at these issues

- We'll talk about modern processors and what really makes it hard:
  - exception handling
  - trying to improve performance with out-of-order execution, etc.
Control Hazards in Pipelining: Branch case

Method 1: Stall
(Assume decision can be made in second stage)

Method 2: Predicting

Method 3: Delayed decision (used in MIPS)
Data Hazards in Pipelining: Forwarding

Example: Add $s0, $t0, $t1
Sub $t2, $s0, $t3

Example: lw $s0 20($t1)
sub $t2, $s0, $t3

Example on P.447

6.2 A pipelined Datapath

Why do we need to add to actually split the datapath into stages?

Tsung-Han Tsai
Graphically Representing Single-Cycle Instruction Execution

- Place datapaths on a time line -> Can help with answering questions like:
  - How many cycles does it take to execute this instruction?
  - What is the ALU doing during cycle 4?
  - The relationship among different instructions that are executed

Pipelined Datapath

- Pipeline registers are used to separate pipeline stage
  - Each bus with n lines (n bits) use n D-FF as the pipeline registers
  - All the registers are triggered by a same global clock
MEM and WB of lw

EX of sw
MEM and WB of sw

Corrected Pipelined Datapath
Multiple-Clock-Cycle Pipeline Diagram
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6.3 Pipeline Control

Add control to the pipelined datapath: Need to set the control values during each pipeline stage
Pipeline Control

- Pass control signals along just like the data
- We have 5 stages. What needs to be controlled in each stage?
  - Instruction Fetch and PC Increment
  - Instruction Decode / Register Fetch
  - Execution
  - Memory Stage
  - Write Back

Datapath with Control: Fig.6.30
Dependencies

- **Problem with starting next instruction before first is finished**
  - dependencies that “go backward in time” are data hazards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (in clock cycles)</th>
<th>CC 1</th>
<th>CC 2</th>
<th>CC 3</th>
<th>CC 4</th>
<th>CC 5</th>
<th>CC 6</th>
<th>CC 7</th>
<th>CC 8</th>
<th>CC 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value of register $2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program execution order (in instructions)

- sub $2$, $1$, $3$
- and $12$, $2$, $5$
- or $13$, $6$, $2$
- add $14$, $2$, $2$
- sw $15$, 100($2$)

Software Solution

- Have compiler guarantee no hazards
- Where do we insert the “nops”?

```
sub   $2$, $1$, $3$
and   $12$, $2$, $5$
or    $13$, $6$, $2$
add   $14$, $2$, $2$
sw    $15$, 100($2$)
```

- Problem: this really slows us down!
Forwarding

- Use temporary results, don’t wait for them to be written
  - register file forwarding to handle read/write to same register
  - ALU forwarding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (in clock cycles)</th>
<th>CC 1</th>
<th>CC 2</th>
<th>CC 3</th>
<th>CC 4</th>
<th>CC 5</th>
<th>CC 6</th>
<th>CC 7</th>
<th>CC 8</th>
<th>CC 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value of register $2$</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of EX/MEM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of MEM/WB</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program execution order (in instructions)

- sub $2$, $1$, $3$
- and $12$, $2$, $5$
- or $13$, $6$, $2$
- add $14$, $2$, $2$
- sw $15$, 100($2$)

What if this $X$ was 33??
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Forwarding
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Can't always forward

• Load word can still cause a hazard:
  – an instruction tries to read a register following a load instruction that writes to the same register.

- Thus, we need a hazard detection unit to “stall” the load instruction

Stalling

• We can stall the pipeline by keeping an instruction in the same stage
Hazard Detection Unit

- Stall by letting an instruction that won’t write anything go forward

![Diagram of Hazard Detection Unit]

Branch Hazards

- When we decide to branch, other instructions are in the pipeline!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program execution order (in instructions)</th>
<th>Time (in clock cycles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 beq $1, $3, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 and $12, $2, $5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 or $13, $6, $5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 add $14, $2, $2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 lw $4, 50($7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- We are predicting “branch not taken”
  - need to add hardware for flushing instructions if we are wrong
6.8 Improving Performance: Superscalar

- Superscalar: to replicate the internal components of the computer so that it can launch multiple instructions in every pipeline stage -> decrease CPI or increase IPC (Instructions Per Cycle)
  - start more than one instruction in the same cycle; Can we ?
  - A 500 MHz four way superscalar CPU can execute a peak rate of two billion instructions per second

R-type; Beq

lw; sw
Dynamic Scheduling

- Dynamic pipeline scheduling: dynamic pipelining by the hardware to avoid pipeline hazards
- The hardware performs the “scheduling”
  - hardware tries to find instructions to execute
  - out of order execution is possible
  - speculative execution and dynamic branch prediction

The Final Datapath and Control
The Processor: Datapath & Control

- All modern processors are very complicated
  - DEC Alpha 21264: 9 stage pipeline, 6 instruction issue
  - PowerPC and Pentium: branch history table